arXiv:1002.2710v1 [math.OA] 13 Feb 2010

On affine orbifold nets associated with outer automorphisms

Feng Xu*
Department of Mathematics
University of California at Riverside
Riverside, CA 92521
E-mail: xufeng@math.ucr.edu

Abstract

We construct solitons in affine orbifold nets associated with outer automorphisms, and we show that our construction gives all the twisted representations of the fixed point subnet. This allows us to settle a number of questions concerning such orbifold constructions.

*Supported in part by NSF.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a completely rational conformal net (cf. §2.3 and def. 2.6). Let Γ be a finite group acting properly on $\mathcal{A}$ (cf. definition (2.4)). It is proved in [27] that the fixed point subnet (the orbifold) $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ is also completely rational, and by [16] $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ has finitely many irreducible representations which are divided into two classes: the ones that are obtained from the restrictions of a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ which are called untwisted representations, and the ones which are twisted (cf. definition after Th. 2.7). It follows from Th. 2.7 that twisted representation of $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ always exists if $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma \neq \mathcal{A}$. The motivating question for this paper is to construct these twisted representations of $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$.

It turns out that all representations of $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ are closely related to the solitons of $\mathcal{A}$ (cf. §3.3 and Prop. 2.8). Solitons are representations of $\mathcal{A}_0$, the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ to the real line identified with a circle with one point removed. Every representation of $\mathcal{A}$ restricts to a soliton of $\mathcal{A}_0$, but not every soliton of $\mathcal{A}_0$ can be extended to a representation of $\mathcal{A}$. The construction of soliton depends on the net $\mathcal{A}$ and the action of Γ. In this paper we consider the orbifold net associated with $SU(n)_k$ with outer automorphism. When $k = 1$, this case is already covered by the general results of [6] and in the framework of Vertex Algebras by [3]. Our work will build upon the results of [6] and [3].

The main difference between the case considered in this paper and those of [14] is that it is not an easy question to determine the index of solitons, hence the strategy of adding up all indices to see if it agrees with the index formula in [14] does not work in the present case (cf. Cor. 4.6 for the list of indices when $n = 3$). Here we use a result of [1] to count the number of irreducible solitons. This allows us to show that the list of known solitons constructed in section 3.2 is in fact all the irreducible solitons and hence all irreducible representations of the fixed point subnet (cf. Th. 3.9) can be determined. We expect that this idea will work in other cases. Though our main results Th. 3.9 and Cor. 3.10 are expected from various partial results (cf. [24]) , they have not appeared before, and we give some applications of these results.

The rest of this paper is as follows: after preliminary sections on nets and related concepts, we construct solitons using the ideas of [6]. By a counting argument, we prove that all irreps of the fixed point nets have been constructed in Th. 3.9. In §4 we consider applications of our main results in §3 to the properties of certain fusion matrices which have been studied from different points of view in [18] and [2] where these properties are postulated motivated by considerations from boundary conformal field theories. In Prop. 4.5 we explicitly determine these fusion matrices for the first non-trivial case when $n = 3$ using a result in [4] about set of lines in Euclidean space which mutually have the angles $\pi/3$ or $\pi/2$. The result agrees with formulas in [18] and [2]. As a corollary we determine the set of indices of twisted solitons in Cor. 4.6. It is an interesting question to extend these results to $n \geq 4$.

The author would like to thank Prof. V. G. Kac for stimulating discussions and providing references and useful suggestions. The paper would not have been written without his help.

2 Conformal nets on S^1

In this section we introduce some basic concepts and notations which will be used later. We refer the reader to §2 of [14] for more details.

By an interval of the circle we mean an open connected non-empty subset I of S^1 such that the interior of its complement I' is not empty. We denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the family of all intervals of S^1.

A *net* $\mathcal{A}$ of von Neumann algebras on S^1 is a map

$$I \in \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}(I) \subset B(\mathcal{H})$$

from $\mathcal{I}$ to von Neumann algebras on a fixed Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ that satisfies:

A. *Isotony.* If $I_1 \subset I_2$ belong to $\mathcal{I}$, then

$$\mathcal{A}(I_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(I_2).$$

The net $\mathcal{A}$ is called *local* if it satisfies:

B. *Locality.* If $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = \varnothing$ then

$$[\mathcal{A}(I_1), \mathcal{A}(I_2)] = \{0\},$$

where brackets denote the commutator.

The net $\mathcal{A}$ is called *Möbius covariant* if in addition satisfies the following properties **C,D,E,F**:

C. *Möbius covariance.* There exists a strongly continuous unitary representation U of the Möbius group $\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}$ (isomorphic to $PSU(1,1)$) on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* \;=\; \mathcal{A}(gI), \quad g \in \mathsf{M\ddot{o}b},\ I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

If $E \subset S^1$ is any region, we shall put $\mathcal{A}(E) \equiv \bigvee_{E \supset I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I)$ with $\mathcal{A}(E) = \mathbb{C}$ if E has empty interior (the symbol $\bigvee$ denotes the von Neumann algebra generated). Note that the definition of $\mathcal{A}(E)$ remains the same if E is an interval namely: if $\{I_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of intervals and $\cup_n I_n = I$, then the $\mathcal{A}(I_n)$'s generate $\mathcal{A}(I)$ (consider a sequence of elements $g_n \in \mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}$ converging to the identity such that $g_n I \subset I_n$).

D. *Positivity of the energy.* The generator of the one-parameter rotation subgroup of U (conformal Hamiltonian) is positive.

E. *Existence of the vacuum.* There exists a unit U-invariant vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ (vacuum vector), and Ω is cyclic for the von Neumann algebra $\bigvee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I)$.

By the Reeh-Schlieder theorem Ω is cyclic and separating for every fixed $\mathcal{A}(I)$. The modular objects associated with $(\mathcal{A}(I), \Omega)$ have a geometric meaning

$$\Delta_I^{it} = U(\Lambda_I(2\pi t)), \qquad J_I = U(r_I) \ .$$

Here Λ_I is a canonical one-parameter subgroup of $\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}$ and $U(r_I)$ is a antiunitary acting geometrically on $\mathcal{A}$ as a reflection r_I on S^1.

This implies *Haag duality*:

$$\mathcal{A}(I)' = \mathcal{A}(I'), \quad I \in \mathcal{I} \ ,$$

where I' is the interior of $S^1 \setminus I$.

F. *Irreducibility.* $\bigvee_{I\in\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I) = B(\mathcal{H})$. Indeed $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible iff Ω is the unique U-invariant vector (up to scalar multiples). Also $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible iff the local von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{A}(I)$ are factors. In this case they are III_1-factors in Connes classification of type III factors (unless $\mathcal{A}(I) = \mathbb{C}$ for all I).

By a *conformal net* (or diffeomorphism covariant net) $\mathcal{A}$ we shall mean a Möbius covariant net such that the following holds:

G. *Conformal covariance.* There exists a projective unitary representation U of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ extending the unitary representation of $\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}$ such that for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* &= \mathcal{A}(gI), \quad g \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^1), \\ U(g)xU(g)^* &= x, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I),\ g \in \mathrm{Diff}(I'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ denotes the group of smooth, positively oriented diffeomorphism of S^1 and $\mathrm{Diff}(I)$ the subgroup of diffeomorphisms g such that $g(z) = z$ for all $z \in I'$.

Let G be a simply connected compact Lie group. By Th. 3.2 of [7], the vacuum positive energy representation of the loop group LG (cf. [19]) at level k gives rise to an irreducible conformal net denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{G_k}$. By Th. 3.3 of [7], every irreducible positive energy representation of the loop group LG at level k gives rise to an irreducible covariant representation of $\mathcal{A}_{G_k}$.

2.1 Genus 0 S, T-matrices

Next we will recall some of the results of [21] and introduce notations.

Let $\{[\lambda], \lambda \in \mathcal{L}\}$ be a finite set of all equivalence classes of irreducible, covariant, finite-index representations of an irreducible local conformal net $\mathcal{A}$. We will denote the conjugate of $[\lambda]$ by $[\bar{\lambda}]$ and identity sector (corresponding to the vacuum representation) by $[1]$ if no confusion arises, and let $N_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu} = \langle [\lambda][\mu], [\nu] \rangle$. Here $\langle \mu, \nu \rangle$ denotes the dimension of the space of intertwiners from μ to ν (denoted by $\mathrm{Hom}(\mu, \nu)$). We will denote by $\{T_e\}$ a basis of isometries in $\mathrm{Hom}(\nu, \lambda\mu)$. The univalence of λ and

the statistical dimension of (cf. §2 of [11]) will be denoted by ω_λ and $d(\lambda)$ (or d_λ)) respectively.

Let φ_λ be the unique minimal left inverse of λ, define:

$$Y_{\lambda\mu} := d(\lambda)d(\mu)\varphi_\mu(\epsilon(\mu,\lambda)^*\epsilon(\lambda,\mu)^*), \tag{1}$$

where $\epsilon(\mu,\lambda)$ is the unitary braiding operator (cf. [11]).

We list two properties of $Y_{\lambda\mu}$ (cf. (5.13), (5.14) of [21]) which will be used in the following:

Lemma 2.1.

$$Y_{\lambda\mu} = Y_{\mu\lambda} = Y^*_{\lambda\bar{\mu}} = Y_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\mu}}.$$
$$Y_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_k N^\nu_{\lambda\mu} \frac{\omega_\lambda\omega_\mu}{\omega_\nu} d(\nu).$$

We note that one may take the second equation in the above lemma as the definition of $Y_{\lambda\mu}$.

Define $a := \sum_i d^2_{\rho_i}\omega^{-1}_{\rho_i}$. If the matrix $(Y_{\mu\nu})$ is invertible, by Proposition on P.351 of [21] a satisfies $|a|^2 = \sum_\lambda d(\lambda)^2$.

Definition 2.2. *Let $a = |a|\exp(-2\pi i\frac{c_0}{8})$ where $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and c_0 is well defined* mod $8\mathbb{Z}$.

Define matrices

$$S := |a|^{-1}Y, T := C\mathrm{Diag}(\omega_\lambda) \tag{2}$$

where

$$C := \exp(-2\pi i\frac{c_0}{24}).$$

Then these matrices satisfy (cf. [21]):

Lemma 2.3.

$$SS^\dagger = TT^\dagger = \mathrm{id},$$
$$STS = T^{-1}ST^{-1},$$
$$S^2 = \hat{C},$$
$$T\hat{C} = \hat{C}T = T,$$

where $\hat{C}_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\lambda\bar{\mu}}$ is the conjugation matrix.

Moreover

$$N^\nu_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_\delta \frac{S_{\lambda\delta}S_{\mu\delta}S^*_{\nu\delta}}{S_{1\delta}}. \tag{3}$$

is known as Verlinde formula.

We will refer the S, T matrices as defined above as **genus 0 modular matrices of $\mathcal{A}$** since they are constructed from the fusion rules, monodromies and minimal

indices which can be thought as genus 0 **chiral data** associated to a Conformal Field Theory.

The commutative algebra generated by λ's with structure constants $N_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ is called **fusion algebra** of $\mathcal{A}$. If Y is invertible, it follows from Lemma 2.3, (3) that any nontrivial irreducible representation of the fusion algebra is of the form $\lambda \to \frac{S_{\lambda\mu}}{S_{1\mu}}$ for some μ.

2.2 The orbifolds

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an irreducible conformal net on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let Γ be a finite group. Let $V : \Gamma \to U(\mathcal{H})$ be a unitary representation of Γ on $\mathcal{H}$. If $V : \Gamma \to U(\mathcal{H})$ is not faithful, we set $\Gamma' := \Gamma/\ker V$.

Definition 2.4. *We say that Γ acts properly on $\mathcal{A}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(1) For each fixed interval I and each $g \in \Gamma$, $\alpha_g(a) := V(g)aV(g^*) \in \mathcal{A}(I), \forall a \in \mathcal{A}(I)$;

(2) For each $g \in \Gamma$, $V(g)\Omega = \Omega, \forall g \in \Gamma$.

We note that if Γ acts properly, then $V(g)$, $g \in \Gamma$ commutes with the unitary representation U of $\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}$.

Define $\mathcal{B}(I) := \{a \in \mathcal{A}(I) | \alpha_g(a) = a, \forall g \in \Gamma\}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\Gamma}(I) := \mathcal{B}(I)P_0$ on $\mathcal{H}_0$ where $\mathcal{H}_0 := \{x \in \mathcal{H} | V(g)x = x, \forall g \in \Gamma\}$ and P_0 is the projection from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}_0$. Then U restricts to an unitary representation (still denoted by U) of $\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}$ on $\mathcal{H}_0$. The following is proved in [27]:

Proposition 2.5. *The map $I \in \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{A}^{\Gamma}(I)$ on $\mathcal{H}_0$ together with the unitary representation (still denoted by U) of $\mathsf{M\ddot{o}b}$ on $\mathcal{H}_0$ is an irreducible Möbius covariant net.*

The irreducible Möbius covariant net in Prop. 2.5 will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\Gamma}$ and will be called the *orbifold of* $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to Γ. We note that by definition $\mathcal{A}^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{A}^{\Gamma'}$.

2.3 Complete rationality

We first recall some definitions from [16] . Recall that $\mathcal{I}$ denotes the set of intervals of S^1. Let $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. We say that I_1, I_2 are disjoint if $\bar{I}_1 \cap \bar{I}_2 = \varnothing$, where $\bar{I}$ is the closure of I in S^1. When I_1, I_2 are disjoint, $I_1 \cup I_2$ is called a 1-disconnected interval in [28]. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_2$ the set of unions of disjoint 2 elements in $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an irreducible Möbius covariant net as in §2.1. For $E = I_1 \cup I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2$, let $I_3 \cup I_4$ be the interior of the complement of $I_1 \cup I_2$ in S^1 where I_3, I_4 are disjoint intervals. Let

$$\mathcal{A}(E) := A(I_1) \bigvee A(I_2), \quad \hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) := (A(I_3) \bigvee A(I_4))'.$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}(E) \subset \hat{\mathcal{A}}(E)$. Recall that a net $\mathcal{A}$ is *split* if $\mathcal{A}(I_1) \bigvee \mathcal{A}(I_2)$ is naturally isomorphic to the tensor product of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{A}(I_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(I_2)$ for any

disjoint intervals $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$. $\mathcal{A}$ is *strongly additive* if $\mathcal{A}(I_1) \bigvee \mathcal{A}(I_2) = \mathcal{A}(I)$ where $I_1 \cup I_2$ is obtained by removing an interior point from I.

Definition 2.6. *[16] $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be completely rational if $\mathcal{A}$ is split, strongly additive, and the index $[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) : \mathcal{A}(E)]$ is finite for some $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$. The value of the index $[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) : \mathcal{A}(E)]$ (it is independent of E by Prop. 5 of [16]) is denoted by $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ and is called the μ-index of $\mathcal{A}$. If the index $[\hat{\mathcal{A}}(E) : \mathcal{A}(E)]$ is infinity for some $E \in \mathcal{I}_2$, we define the μ-index of $\mathcal{A}$ to be infinity.*

Note that by [17] every irreducible, split, local conformal net with finite μ-index is automatically strongly additive. The following theorem is proved in [27]:

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an irreducible Möbius covariant net and let Γ be a finite group acting properly on $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is completely rational. Then:*

(1): $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ is completely rational or μ-rational and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}^\Gamma} = |\Gamma'|^2 \mu_{\mathcal{A}}$;

(2): There are only a finite number of irreducible covariant representations of $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ (up to unitary equivalence), and they give rise to a unitary modular category as defined in II.5 of [22] by the construction as given in §1.7 of [26].

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and Γ satisfy the assumptions of Th. 2.7. Then $\mathcal{A}^\Gamma$ has only finitely number of irreducible representations $\dot{\lambda}$ and

$$\sum_{\dot{\lambda}} d(\dot{\lambda})^2 = \mu_{\mathcal{A}^\Gamma} = |\Gamma'|^2 \mu_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

2.4 Restriction to the real line: Solitons

Denote by $\mathcal{I}_0$ the set of open, connected, non-empty, proper subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, thus $I \in \mathcal{I}_0$ iff I is an open interval or half-line (by an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ we shall always mean a non-empty open bounded interval of $\mathbb{R}$).

Given a net $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}_0$ its restriction to $\mathbb{R} = S^1 \setminus \{-1\}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_0$ is an isotone map on $\mathcal{I}_0$, that we call a *net on* $\mathbb{R}$. In this paper we denote by $J_0 := (0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

A representation π of $\mathcal{A}_0$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a map $I \in \mathcal{I}_0 \mapsto \pi_I$ that associates to each $I \in \mathcal{I}_0$ a normal representation of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ on $B(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\pi_{\tilde{I}} \restriction \mathcal{A}(I) = \pi_I, \quad I \subset \tilde{I}, \quad I, \tilde{I} \in \mathcal{I}_0 \ .$$

A representation π of $\mathcal{A}_0$ is also called a *soliton*. As $\mathcal{A}_0$ satisfies half-line duality, namely

$$\mathcal{A}_0(-\infty, a)' = \mathcal{A}_0(a, \infty), a \in \mathbb{R},$$

by the usual DHR argument [5] π is unitarily equivalent to a representation ρ which acts identically on $\mathcal{A}_0(-\infty, 0)$, thus ρ restricts to an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}(J_0) = \mathcal{A}_0(0, \infty)$. ρ is said to be localized on J_0 and we also refer to ρ as soliton endomorphism.

Clearly a representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ restricts to a soliton π_0 of $\mathcal{A}_0$. But a representation π_0 of $\mathcal{A}_0$ does not necessarily extend to a representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is strongly additive, and a representation π_0 of $\mathcal{A}_0$ extends to a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$, then it is easy to see that such an extension is unique, and in this case we will use the same notation π_0 to denote the corresponding DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

2.5 Induction and restriction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Möbius covariant net and $\mathcal{B}$ a subnet. Given a bounded interval $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}_0$ we fix canonical endomorphism γ_{I_0} associated with $\mathcal{B}(I_0) \subset \mathcal{A}(I_0)$. Then we can choose for each $I \in \mathcal{I}_0$ with $I \supset I_0$ a canonical endomorphism γ_I of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ into $\mathcal{B}(I)$ in such a way that $\gamma_I \restriction \mathcal{A}(I_0) = \gamma_{I_0}$ and λ_{I_1} is the identity on $\mathcal{B}(I_1)$ if $I_1 \in \mathcal{I}_0$ is disjoint from I_0, where $\lambda_I \equiv \gamma_I \restriction \mathcal{B}(I)$.

We then have an endomorphism γ of the C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \overline{\cup_I \mathcal{A}(I)}$ (I bounded interval of $\mathbb{R}$).

Given a DHR endomorphism ρ of $\mathcal{B}$ localized in I_0, the α-induction α_ρ of ρ is the endomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}$ given by

$$\alpha_\rho \equiv \gamma^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Ad}\varepsilon(\rho, \lambda) \cdot \rho \cdot \gamma \ ,$$

where ε denotes the right braiding unitary symmetry (there is another choice for α associated with the left braiding). α_ρ is localized in a right half-line containing I_0, namely α_ρ is the identity on $\mathcal{A}(I)$ if I is a bounded interval contained in the left complement of I_0 in $\mathbb{R}$. Up to unitarily equivalence, α_ρ is localizable in any right half-line thus α_ρ is normal on left half-lines, that is to say, for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, α_ρ is normal on the C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}(-\infty, a) \equiv \overline{\cup_{I \subset (-\infty, a)} \mathcal{A}(I)}$ (I bounded interval of $\mathbb{R}$), namely $\alpha_\rho \restriction \mathfrak{A}(-\infty, a)$ extends to a normal morphism of $\mathcal{A}(-\infty, a)$. We have the following Prop. 3.1 of [17]:

Proposition 2.8. *α_ρ is a soliton endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_0$.*

2.6 Loop groups of type A

We denote $LSU(n)$ the group of smooth maps $f : S^1 \mapsto SU(n)$ under pointwise multiplication. The diffeomorphism group of the circle DiffS^1 is naturally a subgroup of Aut$(LSU(n))$ with the action given by reparametrization. In particular the group of rotations Rot$S^1 \simeq U(1)$ acts on $LSU(n)$. The Lie algebra of $LSU(n)$, denoted by $Lsu(n)$, consists of smooth maps from S^1 to $su(n)$. We will denote elements of $Lsu(n)$ by its Fourier series $g(z) = \sum_n g_n z^n$, and $L^0 su(n)$ the subspace of $Lsu(n)$ which are polynomials in $z = \exp(2\pi i\theta), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. We will be interested in the projective unitary representation $\pi : LSU(n) \to U(H)$ that are both irreducible and have positive energy. This means that π should extend to $LSU(n) \ltimes \mathrm{Rot}\ S^1$ so that $H = \oplus_{n \geq 0} H(n)$, where the $H(n)$ are the eigenspace for the action of RotS^1, i.e., $r_\theta \xi = \exp(in\theta)$ for $\theta \in H(n)$ and $\dim H(n) < \infty$ with $H(0) \neq 0$. By I. 7

of [25] the space of finite energy vectors are C^∞ vectors for the action of $L^0su(n)$, and by I.9 of [25] H remains irreducible when restricting to subgroups generated by $\exp(iX), X = X^* \in L^0su(n)$. We will use $\mathcal{L}SU(n)$ to denote the central extension of $LSU(n)$ by S^1 as constructed in Chapter 4 of [19].

It follows from [19] and [13] that for fixed level k which is a positive integer, there are only finite number of such irreducible representations indexed by the finite set

$$P_{++}^k = \left\{ \lambda \in P \mid \lambda = \sum_{i=1,\cdots,n-1} \lambda_i \Lambda_i, \lambda_i \geq 0 \,, \sum_{i=1,\cdots,n-1} \lambda_i \leq k \right\}$$

where P is the weight lattice of $SU(n)$ and Λ_i are the fundamental weights.

We will use Λ_0 or simply 1 to denote the trivial representation of $SU(n)$. For $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in P_{++}^k$, define $N_{\lambda\mu}^\nu = \sum_{\delta \in P_{++}^k} S_\lambda^{(\delta)} S_\mu^{(\delta)} S_\nu^{(\delta *)} / S_{\Lambda_0}^{(\delta)}$ where $S_\lambda^{(\delta)}$ is given by the Kac-Peterson formula (cf. equation (4) below for an equivalent formula):

$$S_\lambda^{(\delta)} = c \sum_{w \in S_n} \varepsilon_w \exp(iw(\delta) \cdot \lambda 2\pi/n)$$

where $\varepsilon_w = \det(w)$ and c is a normalization constant fixed by the requirement that $S_\mu^{(\delta)}$ is an orthonormal system. It is shown in [13] P. 288 that $N_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ are non-negative integers. Moreover, define $Gr(C_k)$ to be the ring whose basis are elements of P_{++}^k with structure constants $N_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$. The natural involution $*$ on P_{++}^k is defined by $\lambda \mapsto \bar{\lambda} =$ the conjugate of λ as representation of $SU(n)$.

We shall also denote $S_{\Lambda_0}^{(\Lambda)}$ by $S_1^{(\Lambda)}$. Define $d_\lambda = \frac{S_1^{(\lambda)}}{S_1^{(\Lambda_0)}}$. We shall call $(S_\nu^{(\delta)})$ the S-matrix of $LSU(n)$ at level k.

The irreducible positive energy representations of $LSU(n)$ at level k give rise to an irreducible conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ (cf. [14]) and its covariant representations.

We will use $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ...\lambda_{n-1})$ to denote irreducible representations of $\mathcal{A}$ and also the corresponding endomorphism of $M = \mathcal{A}(I)$. Recall from [14] that $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is generated as von Neumann algebra by $\pi_{k\Lambda_0}(f), \forall f \in LSU(n), f \restriction I' = e$ where e denotes the identity element of $SU(n)$.

All the sectors $[\lambda]$ with λ irreducible generate the fusion ring of $\mathcal{A}$.

The following form of Kac-Peterson formula for S matrix will be used later:

$$\frac{S_{\lambda\mu}}{S_{1\mu}} = \exp(\frac{t(\mu+\rho)}{n(k+n)}) ch_{\lambda'}(x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, 1) \tag{4}$$

Where $ch_{\lambda'}$ is the character associated with finite irreducible representation of $SU(n)$ labeled by λ, and $x_i = \exp(-2\pi i \frac{\mu_i'}{k+n}), \mu_i' = \sum_{i \leq j \leq n-1} (\mu_j + 1), 1 \leq i \leq n-1, t(\lambda) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} i\lambda_i$.

The following result is proved in [25] (See Corollary 1 of Chapter V in [25]).

Theorem 2.9. *Each $\lambda \in P_{++}^{(k)}$ has finite index with index value d_λ^2. The fusion ring generated by all $\lambda \in P_{++}^{(k)}$ is isomorphic to $Gr(C_k)$.*

In the case of $SU(2)_k$, we will label irreducible representations by a half integer called spin $0 \leq i \leq k/2$. Here are some examples of fusion rules:

$$\frac{1}{2} \times i = (i-\frac{1}{2}) \oplus (i+\frac{1}{2}), 0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-1}{2}; 1 \times i = (i-1) \oplus i \oplus (i+1), 0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-2}{2}. \quad (5)$$

2.7 Twisted loop group

Let τ be the order two outer automorphism of $SU(n)$ given by $\tau(A) = \bar{A}, \forall A \in SU(n)$ where $\bar{A}$ is the complex conjugate of A. On the Lie algebra $su(n)$ τ is given by $\tau(X) = -\bar{X}, \forall X \in su(n)$ (We identify $su(n)$ with $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices). It is convenient in this paper to think of twisted loop group $L_\tau SU(n)$ as a subgroup of $LSU(n)$. We make the following definition:

Definition 2.10. $L_\tau SU(n) := \{f \in LSU(n), f(\theta + \frac{1}{2}) = \tau(f(\theta)), 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$; $L_\tau su(n) := \{f \in Lsu(n), f(\theta + \frac{1}{2}) = \tau(f(\theta)), 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$.

Let $su(n) = so(n) \oplus g_1$ where g_1 is the eigenspace for τ with eigenvalue -1. Note that g_1 is an irreducible representation of $so(n)$ under the adjoint action (cf. §8 of [13]). Assume that $t = t_0 \oplus t_1$ where $t \subset su(n)$ is the subset of diagonal matrices. Note that both t_0, t_1 are nontrivial subspaces.

The projective irreducible representations of $L_\tau SU(n)$ are similar to that of $LSU(n)$. For each fixed positive integer k we again have finitely many projective unitary irreducible representations of $L_\tau SU(n) \ltimes S^1$. We shall use $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_\tau su(n)$) to denote the central extensions of $L_\tau SU(n)$ (resp. $L_\tau su(n)$) so that the projective unitary irreducible representations of $L_\tau SU(n) \ltimes S^1$ at level k are irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n) \ltimes S^1$. These representations correspond to irreducible integrable highest weight modules of $\mathcal{L}_\tau su(n)$ at level k. We refer the reader to Chapter II of [24] for more details. The following simple observation follows from Chapter 10 of [13]:

Lemma 2.11. *The number of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level k is equal to the number of irreducible representations λ of $\mathcal{L}SU(n)$ at level k such that $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$.*

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the subnet of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$ such that $\mathcal{B}(I)$ is generated as a von Neumann algebra by $\pi_{k\Lambda_0}(g \exp(iX)g^*), \forall g \in Spin(n), X = X^* \in Lt, X(z) = 0, \forall z \in I'$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof Note that $\mathcal{B}$ is indeed a subnet of $\mathcal{A}$ since the modular group associated with $\mathcal{A}(I)$ preserves $\mathcal{B}(I)$. To show that $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{A}(I)$ it is enough to show that $\bigvee_I \mathcal{B}(I) = \bigvee_I \mathcal{A}(I)$. Let $Y = gyg^*z^n + gy^*g^*z^{-n} \in Lsu(n)$ with $y \in t$ and $g \in Spin(n)$. Then $\pi_{k\Lambda_0}(\exp iY) \in \bigvee_I \mathcal{B}(I)$. Since the adjoint actions of $Spin(n)$ on g_0, g_1 are irreducible, and t_0, t_1 are nontrivial subspaces, it follows that g_0 (resp. g_1) is the linear span of $gXg^*, \forall g \in Spin(n), X \neq 0 \in t_0$ (resp. $gXg^*, \forall g \in Spin(n), X \neq 0 \in t_1$). By Trotter's product formulas (cf. P. 295 of [20]) we conclude that $\pi_{k\Lambda_0}(\exp iY) \in \bigvee_I \mathcal{B}(I)$ for any $Y = Y^* \in L^0su(n)$. Since $\pi_{k\Lambda_0}$ is irreducible as representation of the group generated by $\exp(iY), \forall Y = Y^* \in L^0su(n)$, the lemma is proved. ■

3 Affine orbifold nets associated with outer automorphisms

Let k be a positive integer (level). Unless otherwise stated we will write $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$. By identifying $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = (x, y) \to x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^n$ where x, y are column vectors with n real entries, we have the natural inclusion $SU(n)_k \subset Spin(n)_k$. Define $J := (Id_n, -Id_n) \in SO(2n)$ and lift it to $Spin(2n)$. Note that for $A \in SU(N), JAJ = \bar{A}$, and $J.\Omega = \Omega$ where Ω is the vacuum vector for vacuum representations of $\mathcal{L}Spin(2n)_k$. It follows that AdJ generates a proper $\mathbb{Z}_2$ action of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$. This is the action corresponding to the outer automorphism τ of $SU(n)$. Suppose that the vacuum representation of $\mathcal{A}$ decompose as $1 \oplus \sigma$ as representations of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ where 1 stands for the vacuum representation. Motivated by Lemma 8.3 of [17], we make the following definition:

Definition 3.1. *Let ρ be an irreducible soliton of $\mathcal{A}$ which restricts to a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, and let ρ_+ be an irreducible component of $\rho \restriction \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}2}$. ρ is called τ-twisted if $\varepsilon(\rho_+, \sigma)\varepsilon(\sigma, \rho_+) = -1$ where $\varepsilon(.,.)$ is the braiding operator (cf. [11]).*

3.1 Constructions of solitons

Definition 3.2.

$$L_{\mathbb{R}}SU(n) := \{f \in LSU(n) | f(0) = f(1) = e, f^{(n)}(0) = f^{(n)}(1) = 0, \forall n \geq 1\};$$

$$L_{\mathbb{R}}T_0 := \{\exp(if(e^{2\pi i\theta})), f = f^* \in Lt | f^{(n)}(0) = f^{(n)}(1) = 0, \forall n \geq 0\};$$

$$L_I T_0 := \{\exp(if), f = f^* \in Lt, I \subset \mathbb{R}, f \restriction I' = 0\}.$$

The following is a special case of covering homomorphism in Prop. 4.6 of [6]:

Definition 3.3. *$\varphi : L_{\mathbb{R}}T_0 \to L_\tau T$ is a homomorphism defined by $\varphi(g)(\theta) = g(2\theta), \varphi(g)(\theta + \frac{1}{2}) = \tau(\varphi(g)(\theta)), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1/2$. φ lifts to a homorphism from central extensions of $\mathcal{L}_R T_0$ to central extensions of $\mathcal{L}_\tau T$ and by abuse of notations we will use φ to denote the lift.*

3.1.1 level 1 case

When the level $k = 1$, we have conformal inclusion $Spin(n)_2 \subset SU(n)_1$, and by Lemma 5.1 of [27] we have $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \mathcal{A}_{Spin(n)_2}$. By 4.3 of [27] $\mathcal{A}_{Spin(n)_2}$ is completely rational, and its irreducible representations are in one to one correspondence to irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}Spin(n)_2$.

Denote by T the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $SU(n)$ and $\mathcal{L}T$ the subgroup of $\mathcal{L}SU(n)_1$. The conformal net $\mathcal{A}_T$ associated with $\mathcal{L}T$ is the same as $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_1}$ by Page 28 of [29], and is a special case of conformal net associated with lattices as defined in definition 3.7 of [6]. Hence the τ-twisted irreducible solitons of $\mathcal{A}$ are given by section 4.1 of [6]. These τ-twisted solitons are finitely direct sum of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}Spin(n)_2$ (In particular they furnish a representation of $Spin(n)$), and they are

in one to one correspondence to irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level 1 (cf. [3],[8] and references therein). We summarize these results in the following:

Lemma 3.4. *The list $\{\pi_\tau\}$ of irreducible τ-twisted solitons of $\mathcal{A}$ is given as follows: they are in one to one correspondence with the list of irreducible representations $\{\pi\}$ of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level 1, and we have*

$$\pi_\tau(gfg^*) = \pi(g)\pi(\varphi(f))\pi(g^*), \forall f \in \mathcal{L}_I T_0 \subset \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}} T_0, \forall g \in Spin(n). \tag{6}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X = gxg^*z^n + gx^*g^*z^{-n} \in L_\sigma su(n)$ with $g \in Spin(n), x \in t$. Then there exists a sequence of $g_m \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}} SU(n)$ such that*

$$\pi(\exp(iX)) = s - \lim_{m\to\infty} \pi(\varphi(g_m))$$

where π is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)_1$. The same is true if π is replaced by $\pi \otimes \pi ... \otimes \pi$ where there are k tensor product of π.

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Prop. 4.10 of [6]. By Prop. 1.2.3 in Chapter 4 of [23] we can choose a sequence $x_m(\theta)$ of smooth complex valued functions on $[0,1]$ such that $x_m(\theta + \frac{1}{2}) = x_m(\theta), x_m^{(n)}(0) = x_m^{(n)}(1) = 0, \forall n \geq 0, ||x_m - 1||_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1/m, m \geq 1$ (cf. §1.2 of [23] for the definition of norm $||.||_{\frac{1}{2}}$). It follows by definition that $\exp(ix_m X) = \varphi(\exp(if_m))$ where $f_m(\theta) = x_m(\theta/2)X(\theta/2), 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. Note that π is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}Spin(n)_2$, by Prop. 1.3.2 in Chapter 4 of [23] we have that $\pi(\varphi(\exp(if_m))) \to \pi(\exp(iX))$ strongly. When π is replaced by $\pi \otimes \pi ... \otimes \pi$ where there are k tensor product of π, the same argument as in Prop. 1.3.2 in Chapter 4 of [23] works, provided that one replaces the generator L_0 by $\sum_{1\leq i\leq k} id \otimes ... \otimes L_0 ... \otimes id$ where in the summation L_0 appears in the i-th tensor.

■

3.2 General level case

Let π_1 be a direct sum of all level 1 irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ and let π be k tensor products of π_1. Note that π is a representation of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(N)$ at level k with positive energy. By Lemma 3.4 π gives a soliton of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_1}^{\otimes k} \supset \mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$, and by restriction, a soliton π_τ of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$. We have

$$\pi_\tau(gfg^*) = \pi(g)\pi(\varphi(f))\pi(g^*), \forall f \in \mathcal{L}_I T_0, I \subset \mathbb{R}, \forall g \in Spin(n),$$

where φ is defined in definition 3.3, and we have identified f with its image in $\mathcal{A}(I)$.

Note that $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_1}^{\otimes k} = \mathcal{A}_T^{\otimes k}$ is a net associated with $\mathcal{L}(T \times T ... \times T)$ where there are k products, and is a net associated with lattice as in Definition 3.7 of [6]. By Prop. 4.8 of [6] π restricts to a DHR representation of of $(\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_1}^{\otimes k})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is generated by $\tau \otimes \tau ... \otimes \tau$, hence π_τ restricts to a DHR representation of of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

Proposition 3.6.

$$\bigvee_{I\subset\mathbb{R}} \pi_\tau(\mathcal{A}_I)) = \pi(\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n))''.$$

Proof Since by Lemma 2.12 $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is generated by $gfg^*, \forall f \in \mathcal{L}_I T_0, \forall g \in Spin(n)$, by definition we have

$$\bigvee_{I\subset\mathbb{R}} \pi_\tau(\mathcal{A}_I)) \subset \pi(\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n))''.$$

Since $L_\tau SU(n)$ is connected (cf. Lemma 4.2 of [24]), it is sufficient to check that for $X \in L_\tau su(n)$, $\pi(\exp(iX)) \in \bigvee_{I\subset\mathbb{R}} \pi_\tau(\mathcal{A}_I)$. As in the proof of Lemma 2.12, by Trotter's product formula (cf. Page 295 of [20]) and irreducibility of the actions of $Spin(n)$ on $so(n)$ and g_1, it is sufficient to check that for $X = gxz^n g^* + gx^* z^{-n} g^* \in L_\sigma su(n)$ with $g \in Spin(n), x \in t$, we have $\pi(\exp(iX)) \in \bigvee_{I\subset\mathbb{R}} \pi_\tau(\mathcal{A}_I)$, and this follows from Lemma 3.5. ■

Corollary 3.7. *(1) Each irreducible representation ρ of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level k gives an irreducible soliton ρ_τ of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$ such that $\rho_1 \simeq \rho_2$ as representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level k iff $\rho_{1\tau} \simeq \rho_{2\tau}$ as solitons of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$;*

(2) $\rho_\tau \tau \simeq \rho_\tau$ as solitons of $\mathcal{A}_{SU(n)_k}$, and ρ_τ restricts to a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{SU(n)_k}$.

Proof By [13] all irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level k appear in π, and (1) follows from Prop. 3.6. For (2), first we note that ρ_τ comes from an irreducible component of π_τ in Prop. 3.6. Since π_τ restricts to a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{SU(n)_k}$, it follows that ρ_τ restricts to a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{SU(n)_k}$. By construction

$$\rho_\tau(\tau(gfg^*)) = \rho(\varphi(\tau(gfg^*))) = \rho(R_{1/2}\varphi(gfg^*)), \forall g \in Spin(n), f \in \mathcal{L}_I T_0$$

where $R_{1/2}(g)(\theta) = g(\theta + \frac{1}{2})$. Since rotations are implemented on ρ (cf. Page 246 in [13] for a formula for the infinitesimal generator of rotations), (2) follows. ■

Let ρ_τ be an irreducible soliton of $\mathcal{A}$ as given by Cor. 3.7. By (2) of Cor. 3.9 and Cor. 4.9 of [16] ρ_τ decomposes into direct sum of two distinct irreducible representations ρ_+, ρ_- of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. By the same argument as Prop. 4.17 of [6] it follows that $\varepsilon(\rho_+, \sigma)\varepsilon(\sigma, \rho_+) = -1$, and so we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.8. *The irreducible solitons as given in Cor. 3.7 are τ-twisted as in definition 3.1.*

3.3 Counting of all irreducible τ-twisted solitons

Now we apply induction and restriction for general orbifolds in §4 of [16] to $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Recall that the vacuum representation of $\mathcal{A}$ decompose as $1 \oplus \sigma$ as representations of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ where 1 stands for the vacuum representation. Let ρ_τ be τ-twisted irreducible soliton of $\mathcal{A}$ as given by Cor. 3.7. By (2) of Cor. 3.9 and Cor. 4.9 of [16] ρ_τ

decomposes into direct sum of two distinct irreducible representations ρ_+, ρ_- of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, and $[\alpha_{\rho_+}] = [\alpha_{\rho_+}] = [\rho_\tau]$. Similarly for an irreducible representation λ of $\mathcal{A}$, we have that if $[\lambda] = [\bar{\lambda}]$, then λ decomposes as direct sum of two distinct irreducible representations λ_+, λ_- of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, and $[\alpha_{\lambda_+}] = [\alpha_{\lambda_-}] = [\lambda]$. If $[\lambda] \neq [\bar{\lambda}]$, then λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ restrict to the same representation (denoted by λ) of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, and we have $[\alpha_\lambda] = [\lambda]+[\bar{\lambda}]$. Denote by a be the number of irreducible τ-twisted solitons of $\mathcal{A}$, , b the number of irreducible representations λ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, and c the number of irreducible representations λ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\lambda \neq \bar{\lambda}$. By Th. 4.16 of [1], we have

$$a + b + c = 2b + c$$

Hence $a = b$. Note that by Lemma 2.11 the number of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level k is b. By Lemma 3.8 it follows that Cor. 3.7 gives all irreducible τ-twisted representations of $\mathcal{A}$. We summarize these results in the following:

Theorem 3.9. *(1) ρ_τ as given in Cor. 3.7 gives all the irreducible τ-twisted representations of $\mathcal{A}$. These representations are in one to one correspondence with irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level k; (2) The list of all irreducible representations of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ are as follows:*

For an irreducible representation λ of $\mathcal{A}$, we have that if $[\lambda] = [\bar{\lambda}]$, then λ decomposes as direct sum of two distinct irreducible representations λ_+, λ_- of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$; If $[\lambda] \neq [\bar{\lambda}]$, then λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ restrict to the same representation (denoted by λ) of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$; ρ_+, ρ_- where ρ_τ corresponds to irreducible representations of $\mathcal{L}_\tau SU(n)$ at level k.

By Th. 2.7 and Th. 3.9, we have proved the following:

Corollary 3.10. *The list of irreducible representations of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ as in (2) of Th. 3.9 give rise to a unitary modular tensor category as defined in II.5 of [22] by the construction as given in §1.7 of [26].*

4 Examples of fusion rules

Th. 3.9 and Cor.3.10 give strong constraints on the fusion rules related to $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. In this section we give some examples of fusion rules by using the results of §2. The ideas are similar to section 9 of [14].

Denote by $N_{\lambda\rho_1}^{\rho_2} = \langle \lambda\rho_1, \rho_2 \rangle$ where λ is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{A}$, and ρ_1, ρ_2 are irreducible τ-twisted representations of $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a complex valued matrix $\psi_\rho^{(\mu)}$ where ρ denotes irreducible τ-twisted representations of $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mu = \bar{\mu}$ labels irreducible representation of $\mathcal{A}$, such that*

$$N_{\lambda\rho_1}^{\rho_2} = \sum_{\mu,\mu=\bar{\mu}} \frac{S_{\lambda\mu}}{S_{1\mu}} \psi_{\rho_1}^{(\mu)} \psi_{\rho_2}^{(\mu)*}.$$

Proof First we assume that $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$. We have

$$\langle \lambda\rho_1, \rho_2\rangle = \langle \lambda\alpha_{\rho_{1+}}, \alpha_{\rho_{2+}}\rangle = \langle (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)\rho_{1+}, \rho_{2+}\rangle$$

Using Verlinde formula we have:

$$\langle (\lambda_+ + \lambda_-)\rho_{1+}, \rho_{2+}\rangle = \sum_{\dot{\mu}} (\frac{S_{\lambda_+\dot{\mu}} S_{\rho_{1+}\dot{\mu}} S^*_{\rho_{2+}\dot{\mu}}}{S^3_{1\dot{\mu}}} + \frac{S_{\lambda_-\dot{\mu}} S_{\rho_{1+}\dot{\mu}} S^*_{\rho_{2+}\dot{\mu}}}{S^3_{1\dot{\mu}}})$$

By Lemma 9.1 of [14], we have that $S_{\rho_+\dot{\mu}} = 0$ if $\dot{\mu}$ comes from restriction of representation $\mu \neq \bar{\mu}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, and $S_{\lambda_+\dot{\mu}} = -S_{\lambda_-\dot{\mu}}$ if $\dot{\mu}$ comes from restriction of a τ-twisted representation of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mu = \bar{\mu}$, by (4) of Lemma 9.1 in [14] we have

$$\frac{S_{\lambda_+\mu_+} S_{\rho_{1+}\mu_+} S^*_{\rho_{2+}\mu_+}}{S^3_{1\mu_+}} = \frac{S_{\lambda_-\mu_-} S_{\rho_{1+}\mu_-} S^*_{\rho_{2+}\mu_-}}{S^3_{1\mu_-}} = \frac{S_{\lambda\mu} S_{\rho_{1+}\mu_+} S^*_{\rho_{2+}\mu_+}}{S_{1\mu} S^2_{1\mu_+}}$$

Set $\psi^{(\mu)}_\rho = \sqrt{2}\frac{S_{\rho_+\mu_+}}{S_{1\mu_+}}$ and the Lemma follows. The case when $\lambda \neq \bar{\lambda}$ is similar. ■

4.1 n=3 case

Lemma 4.1 determines the spectrum of square matrix N_λ whose entries are non-negative integers. In this section we determine (up to permutation) N_λ for the first non-trivial case $n = 3$. Our results agree with the ansatz given by [18] based on heuristic arguments.

Lemma 4.2. *$d = (d_\rho)_\rho$ is the unique (up to scalar multiplication) Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of N_v with eigenvalue S_{v1}/S_{11} where v denotes the vector representation.*

Proof We note that the matrix N_v is irreducible. In fact since $\rho_1\bar{\rho}_2 \succ \lambda$ for some λ, and $\lambda \prec v^m$ for some integer m, it follows that the (ρ_1, ρ_2)-th entry of N_v is positive. Hence by [9] the lemma is proved. ■

We shall refer to the equation

$$N_v d = \frac{S_{v1}}{S_{11}} d \tag{7}$$

as Perron-Frobenius equation.

Note that by Lemma 4.1, $N_v = N_{\bar{v}}$. Since every irrep λ can be written as polynomials in $v, \bar{v}$, it is sufficient to determine N_v.

Let $M = N_v - I$. By Lemma 4.1 and equation (4) the spectrum of M is given as follows. If $k = 2m-1$ is odd, then the spectrum of M is given by $2\cos(\frac{\pi(i+1)}{m+1}), 0 \leq i \leq m-1$, and it is the same as the fusion matrix $N_{1/2}$ associated with $SU(2)_{m-1}$ where $1/2$ denotes the spin $1/2$ representation. If $k = 2m-2$ is even, then the spectrum of N_v is given by $2\cos(\frac{2\pi(i+1)}{2m+1}), 0 \leq i \leq m-1$, and it is the same as the fusion matrix

N_1 associated with $SU(2)_{2m-1}$ acting on the set of integer spin representations of $SU(2)_{2m-1}$, where 1 denotes the spin 1 representation of $SU(2)_{2m-1}$.

Our goal in this section is to show that up to permutation $N_v = N_{1/2} + I$ when $k = 2m-1$ and $N_v = N_1$ when $k = 2m-2$.

First note that since $||M|| < 2$, the entries of M can take only $1, -1, 0$, and since $M = N_v - I$, only diagonal entries on M can be -1. By the known spectrum of M we have $tr(M) = 0, tr(M^2) = 2m-2$ when $k = 2m-1$, and $tr(M) = -1, tr(M^2) = 2m-1$ when $k = 2m-2$.

Also since $||M^2|| < 4$ each row of M contains at most three nonzero entries.

Denote by k_1, k_2, k_3 respectively the number of rows of M with one, two, three nonzero entries respectively. Then we have $k_1+k_2+k_3 = n, k_1+2k_2+3k_3 = tr(M^2) = 2m-2$ when $k = 2m-1$, and $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = n, k_1 + 2k_2 + 3k_3 = tr(M^2) = 2m-1$ when $k = 2m-2$.

Hence $k_1 = k_3+2$ when k is odd and $k_1 = k_3+1$ when k is even. For simplicity we enumerate the τ-twisted solitons by $1, ..., m$ We associate a graph G to these solitons with vertices $1, ..., m$ and the connect i and j $(i \neq j)$ by the (i, j)-th entry of M. By Lemma 4.2 G is connected.

If $k_3 = 0$, then $k_1 = 2$ or $k_1 = 1$ depending on if k is odd or even. Permute solitons if necessary, we may assume that the first row contains only one nonzero entry. Using the equation (7) we must have 1 in the first row of M, and it is not on the diagonal. Assume that 2 is the vertex connected to 1 on G and use the equation 7 and the fact that G is connected, we conclude that unless $m = 2$, 2 is connected to a new vertex 3. Continue this argument we have shown that up to permutation $N_v = N_{1/2} + I$ when k is odd, and $N_v = N_1$ when k is even.

When k is odd and if all diagonal entries of M are zeros, then $2I - M$ is a positive definite matrix with all diagonal entries equal to 2. Hence we can find a basis $\{\epsilon_1, ...\epsilon_m\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ such that $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0$ or -1 if $i \neq j$ and $(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i) = 2, 1 \leq i \leq m$. It follows that G is a connected Coexter graph , and G must be $A - D - E$ graph (cf. Page 60 of [12] or §1.4 of [10]). Since G has norm $2\cos(\frac{\pi}{m+1})$ with m vertices, by inspecting table 1.4.5 of [10] we conclude that up to permutation $M = N_{1/2}$.

When k is even, $tr(M) = -1$, there is at least one -1 on the diagonal of M.

For the rest of this section we assume that $k_3 > 0$ and there is at least one nonzero entry on the diagonal of M. Since one can easily determine M for $m \leq 3$, we will also assume that $m \geq 4$. We will derive contradictions from these assumptions.

The basic idea is contained in Remark (2) on Page 23 of [10]. Introduce new rows numbered by $1', 2'..., m'$ and we use M_1 to denote a symmetric $2m \times 2m$ matrix whose (i, j')-th entry is the (i, j)-th entry of M, and all other entries of M_1 are equal to zero. We associate a graph G_1 to M_1 whose vertices are $1, 2, ..., m, 1', 2', ..., m'$ and i, j' are connected by the absolute value of the (i, j)-th entry of M. Since G is connected, and by our assumption there is at least one nonzero entry on the diagonal of M, it follows that G_1 is connected. Let $P = 2I - M_1$. Note that P is positive definite, and we can find a basis $\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ such that the inner product matrix of this basis is P. By definition $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_m$ and $\varepsilon'_1, ..., \varepsilon'_m$ are two orthogonal sets,

and the angles between the lines spanned by the elements in the basis are either $\pi/3$ or $\pi/2$, and by Th. 3.5 of [4] we conclude that $\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ is contained in a direct sum of root systems of $A - D - E$. Since G_1 is connected, we conclude that $\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ is contained in one root system. If this root system is E_6 or E_8, then we have $m = 3$ or $m = 4$. One can easily rule out these two cases using $k_1 = k_3 + 2$ or $k_1 = k_3 + 1$ and equation (7). If this root system is A_{2m+1}, since the elements of this system are of the form $e_i - e_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2m + 1$ (cf. Definition 3.1 of [4]), it follows that M can not have a row with three nonzero entries. Hence to finish the proof we assume that $\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ is contained in root system of D_{2m}. Root system of type D_{2m} consists of vectors $\pm e_i \pm e_j, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2m$, where $e_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2m$ is an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$. By assumption we can identify $\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ as a subset of $\pm e_i \pm e_j, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2m$.

The following lemma will be used repeatedly in the following, and its proof follows directly from definitions:

Lemma 4.3. *(1) If a vertex on G_1 is connected to three different vertices i'_1, i'_2, i'_3 then $\varepsilon'_{i_1}, \varepsilon'_{i_2}, \varepsilon'_{i_3}$ must contain (up to multiplication by -1) $e_j + e_k, e_j - e_k$ for some $1 \leq j \neq k \leq 2m$;*

(2) If two vertices i', j' of G_1 are such that $\varepsilon'_i = e_j + e_k, \varepsilon'_j = e_j - e_k$, then i' is connected to vertex l in G_1 iff j' is connected to vertex l;

(3) If $\varepsilon'_{i_1} = e_j + e_k, \varepsilon'_{i_2} = e_j - e_k, \varepsilon_{j_1} = e_p + e_q, \varepsilon_{j_2} = e_p - e_q$, then $\{j, k\} \cap \{p, q\} = \varnothing$.

Definition 4.4. *A vertex i of G is called type 0 if the (i, i)-the entry of M is zero, and type 1 otherwise.*

Case (1): If a type 1 vertex is connected two different type 0 vertices on G , by Lemma 4.3 the two type 0 vertices are not connected. By permuting basis elements if necessary, we may assume that $(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon'_j) = 0, |(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon'_i)| = 1, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. Since $\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ is contained in root system of D_{2m}, $\varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ and $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_m$ are two orthogonal sets, it follows that we may assume that (up to multiplication by -1) that $\varepsilon'_1 = e_3 + e_5, \varepsilon'_2 = e_1 + e_2, \varepsilon'_3 = e_1 - e_2$ and $\varepsilon_1 = e_1 + e_5, \varepsilon_2 = e_3 + e_4, \varepsilon_3 = e_3 - e_4$. But then e_5 is in the subspace spanned by $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ and also in the subspace spanned by $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon'_2, \varepsilon'_3$, contradicting the fact that $\varepsilon_1, ...\varepsilon_m, \varepsilon'_1, ...\varepsilon'_m$ is a basis.

Case (2): If two type 1 vertices are connected on G, assume that one such vertex is connected to either a type 0 or type 1 vertex. The first case is ruled out by Lemma 4.3 and Case (1), and the second case is impossible by Lemma 4.3 and the fact that G is connected and $m \geq 4$.

Case (3): If two type 1 vertices are connected to the same type 0 vertex on G, since $m \geq 4$ and G is connected, by case (1) and (2) we assume that the type 0 vertex is connected to one additional vertex on G, but one checks easily that this is impossible by Lemma 4.3.

Now consider a subgraph G' obtained from G by deleting type 1 vertices and edges with one endpoint a type 1 vertex. Since G is connected, by Case (1) G' is connected. Moreover, if i is a type 0 vertex, define $\eta_i := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon'_i)$. Then $(\eta_i, \eta_i) = 2$, and it follows that two vertices i_1, i_2 on G' are connected by $-(\eta_i, \eta_j)$ edges. Hence G' is a

connected Coexter graph, and by Page 60 of [12] we know that G' is an $A-D-E$ graph. Since we assume that $k_3 \geq 1$, G' must be type D or E. In the case $k_1 = k_3+2$, we must have no type 1 vertex attached to the end points of G', contracting our assumption that type 1 vertex exists and must be connected to one type 0 vertex by case (2). In the case $k_1 = k_3 + 1$, we must have exactly one type 1 vertex connected to one endpoint of either type D or type E graph, and these cases can be directly ruled out by tedious calculations using the Perron-Frobenius equation. Here we give a different approach. By fusion rules of $SU(2)_{2m-1}$ in equation (5) , N_{m-1} can be written as a polynomial of N_1 with integer coefficients, and we have $N_{m-1}^2 = N_1 + I$. The spectrum of N_{m-1} is given by $2\cos(\frac{\pi(i+1)}{2m+1}), 0 \leq i \leq m-1$. Since N_1 has the same spectrum as N_v, it follows that there is symmetric matrix M' with integer entries such that $M'^2 = N_v + I$, and M' has the same spectrum as N_{m-1}. Since N_v has one 1 and $m-1$ 2's on the diagonal, it follows that M' has one row with one nonzero entry ± 1, and $m-1$ rows with two nonzero entries which are ± 1. Now associate a graph with vertices $1, ..., m$ to M' so that the i-th and the j-th vertex are connected by the absolute value of the (i,j)-th entry of M' (If $i=j$ and the (i,i)-th entry of M' is ± 1, we connect i to itself by a loop). As in the case with M this graph is connected, and it follows that it is a line segment with one loop attached to an endpoint. Since G' has a trivalent vertex, it follows that there exists four different vertices i, j, k, l such that the $(i,j), (i,k), (i,l)$ entries of N_v are 1, but one checks easily that this is impossible since $M'^2 = N_v + I$. We have proved the following:

Proposition 4.5. *(1) When $k = 2m-1$, we can label τ-twisted irrep of $\mathcal{A}$ by integers $1, 2, ..., m$ such that the (i,j)-th entry of N_v is given by $\delta_{ij} + \langle \frac{1}{2}\frac{(i-1)}{2}, \frac{(j-1)}{2} \rangle$ where half integers $0 \leq k/2 \leq m - 1/2$ label the spin of irreps of $SU(2)_{m-1}$;*

(2) When $k = 2m-2$, we can label τ-twisted irrep of $\mathcal{A}$ by integers $1, 2, ..., m$ such that the (i,j)-th entry of N_v is given by $\delta_{ij} + \langle 1(i-1), (j-1) \rangle$ where integers $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ label the spin of irreps of $SU(2)_{2m-1}$.

By Prop. 4.5 the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector d is up to multiplication by a positive constant δ and possible permutations equal to $(d_{(i-1)/2})_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ (resp. $(d_{i-1})_{1 \leq i \leq m}$) when $k = 2m-1$ (resp. when $k = 2m-2$). By Prop. 3.1 of [1] $\sum_{\rho_\tau} d_{\rho_\tau}^2 = \sum_\lambda d_\lambda^2$, and by equation (4) we can determine δ uniquely. When $k = 2m-1$, $\delta = \frac{\sqrt{3(m+1)}}{2\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2m+2})}$, and when $k = 2m-2$, $\delta = \frac{\sqrt{3(2m+1)}}{4\sin(\frac{\pi}{2m+1})\sin(\frac{2\pi}{2m+1})}$. We have therefore proved the following

Corollary 4.6. *(1) When $k = 2m-1$, the set of indices of τ-twisted solitons are given by $\{\frac{3(m+1)}{4\sin^4(\frac{\pi}{2m+2})}\frac{\sin(i\pi/(m+1))}{\sin(\pi/(m+1))}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$;*

(2) When $k = 2m-2$, the set of indices of τ-twisted solitons are given by $\{\frac{3(2m+1)}{16\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2m+1})\sin^2(\frac{2\pi}{2m+1})}\frac{\sin((2i-1)\pi/(2m+1))}{\sin(\pi/(2m+1))}, 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

References

[1] J. Böckenhauer, D. E. Evans & Y. Kawahigashi, *Chiral structure of modular invariants for subfactors*, Comm. Math. Phys. 210 (2000), no. 3, 733–784.

[2] L. Birke, J. Fuchs, C. Schweigert *Symmetry breaking boundary conditions and WZW orbifolds*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 3 (1999) 671-726

[3] B.Bakalov, V. G. Kac, *Twisted modules over lattice vertex algebras,* Lie theory and its applications in physics V, 3–26, eds H.-D.Doebner and V.K. Dobrev, World Sci. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2004.

[4] P. J. Cameron, J.-M. Goethals, J.J. Seidel, E.E. Shult, *Line graphs, root systems, and elliptic geometry,* J. Algebra 43 (1976), no. 1, 305–327.

[5] S. Doplicher, R. Haag & J. E. Roberts, *Local observables and particle statistics*, I. Commun. Math. Phys. **23**, 199-230 (1971); II. **35**, 49-85 (1974).

[6] C. Dong and F. Xu, *Conformal nets associated with lattices and their orbifolds,* Adv. Math. 206 (2006), no. 1, 279–306.

[7] F. Gabbiani and J. Fröhlich, *Operator algebras and conformal field theory,* Commun. Math. Phys., **155**, 569-640 (1993).

[8] Fons ten Kroode and Johan van de Leur, *Level one representations of the twisted affine algebras* $A_n^{(2)}$ *and* $D_n^{(2)}$*,* Acta Appl. Math. 27 (1992), no. 3, 153–224.

[9] F.R. Gantmacher, "The theory of matrices", Vol. 2, Chelsea 1960.

[10] F. Goodman, P. de la Harpe and Vaughan F. R. Jones, Coxeter graphs and towers of algebras. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 14. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. x+288 pp.

[11] D. Guido & R. Longo, *Relativistic invariance and charge conjugation in quantum field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **148** (1992) 521–551.

[12] J. Humphreys, "Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory", GTM 9, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

[13] V. G. Kac, "Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras", 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[14] V. Kac, R. Longo and F. Xu, *Solitons in affine and permutation orbifolds,* Comm. Math. Phys. 253 (2005), no. 3, 723–764.

[15] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Modular and conformal invariance constraints in representation theory of affine algebras*, Advances in Math., **70**, 156-234 (1988).

[16] Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo & M. Müger, *Multi-interval subfactors and modularity of representations in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **219** (2001) 631–669.

[17] R. Longo & F. Xu, *Topological sectors and a dichotomy in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **251** (2004), 321-364.

[18] V.B. Petkova, J.-B. Zuber *Boundary conditions in charge conjugate sl(N) WZW theories,* hep-th/0201239.

[19] A. Pressley and G. Segal, "Loop Groups" Oxford University Press 1986.

[20] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, I:Functional analysis,* Academi Press, 1980.

[21] K.-H. Rehren, *Braid group statistics and their superselection rules*, in "The Algebraic Theory of Superselection Sectors", D. Kastler ed., World Scientific 1990.

[22] V. G. Turaev, *Quantum invariants of knots and 3-manifolds,* Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York 1994

[23] V. Toledano Laredo, "Fusion of Positive Energy Representations of $LSpin_{2n}$", Ph.D. dissertation, University of Cambridge, 1997

[24] R. Verrill "Positive energy representations of $L^{\sigma}SU(2r)$ and orbifold fusion," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Cambridge, 2001.

[25] A. Wassermann, Operator algebras and Conformal field theories III, *Invent. Math.* **133** (1998), 467-538.

[26] F. Xu, *3-manifold invariants from cosets*, Journal of Knot theory and its ramifications, Vol. 14, no. 1 (2005), 21-90.

[27] F. Xu, *Algebraic orbifold conformal field theories*, Proceedings of National Academy of Sci. USA, Vol. 97, no. 26, (2000), 14069-14073.

[28] F. Xu, *Jones-Wassermann subfactors for disconnected intervals*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **2** (2000) 307–347.

[29] F. Xu, *Algebraic coset conformal field theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **211** (2000) 1–43.